REMARKS

The Specification has been amended to correct minor errors. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 14, 17, 24 and 28-31 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Thus, claims 1-31 remain pending in the present application. In view of the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 14 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,529,250 to Murakamu et al. ("Murakami"). 12/19/08 Office Action, p. 3.

Claim 1 recites a polarized display, comprising "an intensity modulating matrix display, said intensity modulating matrix display having a front surface" and "a polarizing matrix display in front of said intensity modulating matrix display, said polarizing matrix display having a front surface" wherein the display is one of "a linear polarization display, each pixel of said polarizing matrix display panel being controllable and a rotation of a generated polarized light being varied over a range including 90 degrees and below" and "an elliptical polarization display, each pixel of said polarizing matrix display panel being controllable and a phase between a fast and a slow axes of a polarized light coming from a corresponding pixel of said intensity modulating matrix display in a range including 180 degrees and below."

In contrast, Murakami discloses a projector including a structure for solving a heat generation problem. Specifically, Murakami describes a liquid crystal valve operation in which a multi-layered film 503 is used as a light-incident side polarizing means, which transmits a p-polarization axis component light-beam 501 of incident light and reflects an s-polarization axis component light-beam 502 of the incident light. *Murakami*, col. 14, ll. 19 – 24. Murakami further teaches that the multi-layered film 503 may be formed of layers of film of drawn polymer placed upon each other, as shown in Fig. 7. *Id.* at col. 15, ll. 2 – 6. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the multi-layered film 503 is not formed as a matrix display.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Murakami does not show or suggest "an intensity modulating matrix display," as recited in claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully

submitted that claim 1 is not anticipated by Murakami and that the rejection of this claim should be withdrawn. Because claim 14 depends from and includes all of the limitations of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that this claim is also allowable.

Claim 31 recites a method for generating stereoscopic images, comprising the steps of "providing an intensity modulating matrix display" and "providing a polarizing matrix display following the intensity modulating matrix display" along with one of "controlling each pixel of the polarizing matrix display panel and a rotation of a generated polarized light over a range including 90 degrees and below" and "controlling each pixel of the polarizing matrix display panel and a phase between a fast and a slow axes of a polarized light coming from a corresponding pixel of said intensity modulating matrix display over a range including 180 degrees and below."

For at least the same reason as discussed above in regard to claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that claim 31 is not anticipated by Murakami and that the rejection of this claim should be withdrawn.

Claims 3-5, 7-10, 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Murakami. 12/19/08 Office Action, p. 4.

As discussed above in regard to claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is allowable over Murakami. Since claims 3-5, 7-10, 15 and 16 depend from and include all of the limitations of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also allowable and that the rejection of these claims should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 20, 2009

Oleg F. Kaplun, Esq. (Reg. No. 45,559)

Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP 150 Broadway, Suite 702 New York, NY 10038

Tel: (212) 619-6000 Fax: (212) 619-0276